

Delay-dependent input-output stability conditions for non-autonomous neutral type differential equations in a Banach space

MICHAEL GIL'

ABSTRACT. In a Banach space we consider a class of linear non-autonomous neutral type differential equations with several delays. For the considered equations we derive explicit delay-dependent input-output stability conditions. Applications to neutral type integro-differential equations are also discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

This paper is devoted to a class of linear non-autonomous neutral type differential equations with several variable delays, whose coefficients are bounded operators in a Banach space. Such equations include, in particular, various neutral type integro-differential equations.

The basic method for the stability analysis of the neutral type functional differential equations in a Hilbert space is the generalized Lyapunov-Krasovskii method, cf. [13, 16, 18, 20] and references given therein. By that method many great results have been obtained, however, to the best of our knowledge, the stability of neutral type nonautonomous equations in a Banach space with several delays are not investigated in the available literature. Below we obtain explicit delay-dependent input-output stability conditions for the considered equations. Note that the literature on the delay-dependent stability criteria is rather rich, but mainly equations in a finite dimensional space are considered, cf. [1, 5–7, 10, 11, 14, 15].

Introduce the notations: \mathcal{X} is a complex Banach space with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \|\cdot\|$ and the unit operator $I_{\mathcal{X}} = I$. By $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, we denote the set of all bounded linear operators in \mathcal{X} . For any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, $\sigma(A)$ is the spectrum and $\|A\|$ is the operator norm. Below the continuity and differentiability are understood in the strong sense. $C([a, b], \mathcal{X})$ is the space of

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 34K30; Secondary: 34K06, 34K20.

Key words and phrases. Banach space, non-autonomous neutral type differential equation, input-output stability, integro-differential equations.

Full paper. Received 26 April 2022, accepted 22 August 2022, available online 14 October 2022.

\mathcal{X} -valued functions f defined and continuous on a finite or infinite segment $[a, b]$ and equipped with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_{C(a,b)} = \|f\|_{C([a,b],\mathcal{X})} = \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \|f(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

For simplicity, we will denote $R^1 = (-\infty, \infty)$, $R_+ = [0, \infty)$. Let $B_j(t) : R_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ ($j = 1, \dots, m_E$) be continuous, $B(t, \tau) : R_+ \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be continuous in t and piece-wise continuous in τ , and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$.

The present paper is devoted to the equation

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} w'(t) - Tw'(t - \eta) &= (Ew)(t) + f(t), \\ (0 < \eta < \infty; f \in C(R_+, \mathcal{X}), t \geq 0), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(Ew)(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_E} B_k(t)w(t - h_k(t)) + \int_0^1 B(t, s)w(t - h_0(s))ds$$

and $h_k(t)$, ($k = 1, \dots, m_E < \infty$), are continuous nonnegative functions defined on R_+ , such that $h_k(t) \leq \eta$, ($t \geq 0$); $h_0(s)$ is a continuous nonnegative function defined on $[0, 1]$, such that $h_0(s) \leq \eta$, ($0 \leq s \leq 1$).

Take the zero initial condition

$$(2) \quad w(t) \equiv 0, \quad (t \leq 0).$$

A solution of problem (1), (2) is an \mathcal{X} -valued continuous function w defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$, having a continuous derivative for all $t > 0$ and satisfying (1) and (2).

Below we check the existence of solutions under consideration. Equation (1) is said to be *input-output stable*, if there is a positive constant m_0 independent of $f \in C(R_+, \infty)$, such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|w(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq m_0 \|f\|_{C(R_+, \mathcal{X})}$$

for a solution $w(t)$ of problem (1), (2). As is well-known, the input-output is deeply connected with some other types of stabilities [9].

Throughout the paper it is assumed that

$$(3) \quad \|T\| < 1$$

and

$$(4) \quad \chi(E) := \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_E} \|B_k(t)\| + \int_0^1 \|B(t, \tau)\| d\tau \right) < \infty,$$

therefore

$$\psi(E) := \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_E} \|B_k(t)\| h_k(t) + \int_0^1 \|B(t, \tau)\| h_0(\tau) d\tau \right) < \infty.$$

Finally, we introduce the operators $M(t)$ and V_M by

$$M(t) := \sum_{k=1}^{m_E} B_k(t) + \int_0^1 B(t, \tau) d\tau$$

and

$$(V_M u)(t) = \int_0^t U(t, s) u(s) ds, \quad (u \in C(R_+, \mathcal{X})),$$

where $U(t, s)$ ($t \geq s \geq 0$) is the evolution operator of the differential equation

$$(5) \quad z'(t) = M(t)z(t).$$

Now we are in a position to formulate our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let V_M be bounded in $C(R_+, \mathcal{X})$ with a norm $\|V_M\| = \|V_M\|_{C(R_+, \mathcal{X})}$. Let the conditions (3), (4) and*

$$(6) \quad \zeta_0 := \frac{\|V_M\|_{C(R^1, \mathcal{X})} \chi(E)}{1 - \|T\|} (\|T\| + \psi(E)) < 1$$

hold. Then equation (1) is input-output stable.

The proof of this theorem is presented in the next section. Theorem 1 is sharp in the following sense: if $T = 0$ and $\psi(E) = 0$, then equation (1) takes the form (5). In this case condition (6) is automatically holds, if V_M is bounded. But the boundedness of V_M is necessary for the stability.

Note that our stability conditions are based, in particular, on the norm estimates for V_M . In Section 3 we recall such estimates, assuming that $M(t)$ is dissipative or satisfies the so called generalized Lipschitz condition. In Section 4 we discuss the application of Theorem 1 to integro-differential equations.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Extend $B_k(t)$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_E$) and $B(t, \tau)$ by zero to $t \in (-\infty, 0)$ and denote the extensions by the same symbols. Besides, due to (4), for the norm of E in $C(R^1)$ we have $\|E\| \leq \chi(E)$. Rewrite (1) as

$$(7) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(w(t) - (Sw)(t)) = (Ew)(t) + f(t), \quad (t \geq 0).$$

Here $(Sw)(t) = Tw(t - \eta)$. Integrating (7) from $-\infty$ to t with (2) taken into account and extending f to $(-\infty, 0)$ by zero, we have

$$(8) \quad w(t) - (Sw)(t) = \int_0^t (Ew)(s) ds + f_1(t), \quad (t \geq 0),$$

where

$$f_1(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds, \quad (t \geq 0)$$

and

$$f_1(t) = 0, \quad (t \leq 0).$$

Operators E and S are bounded on space $C(R^1)$ and map it into itself. Besides, due to (3)

$$(I - S)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S^k \quad \text{and} \quad \|(I - S)^{-1}\|_{C(R^1)} \leq (1 - \|T\|)^{-1}.$$

For a finite $t_0 > \eta$, introduce the subspace

$$C_0 := \{g \in C(-\infty, t_0) : g(t) = 0, \quad (t \in (-\infty, 0])\}.$$

Define on C_0 the operator W by

$$(Wg)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t (E(I - S)^{-1}g)(s)ds, \quad (t \geq 0)$$

and

$$(Wg)(t) = 0, \quad (t \leq 0, g \in C_0).$$

Simple calculations show that

$$\|W^k g\|_{C(-\infty, t_0)} = \|W^k g\|_{C(0, t_0)} \leq \frac{1}{k!} (t_0)^k \|E(I - S)^{-1}\|_{C(R^1)}^k,$$

for $g \in C_0$, $\|g\|_{C(0, t_0)} = 1$. Clearly, $f_1 \in C_0$. Thus,

$$(I - W)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W^k$$

and the equation

$$u - Wu = f_1$$

has a unique solution $u \in C_0$, and

$$u'(t) = (E(I - S)^{-1}u)(t) + f(t), \quad (-\infty < t \leq t_0).$$

So $w = (I - S)^{-1}u$ satisfies equation (8) and $w' = (I - S)^{-1}u'$. Since (8) is equivalent to (1), we have proved the *existence of solutions to problem (1), (2)*.

Furthermore, for a finite $t_0 > \eta$ for the brevity put $|w|_{t_0} = \|w\|_{C(-\infty, t_0)}$. Then (1) and (3) imply

$$|w'|_{t_0} \leq \|T\| |w'|_{t_0} + \chi(E) |w|_{t_0} + \|f\|_{C(R_+)}.$$

Hence,

$$(9) \quad |w'|_{t_0} \leq \gamma |w|_{t_0} + c_1 \|f\|_{C(R_+)}, \quad (c_1 = \text{constant} > 0),$$

where $\gamma := \chi(E)(1 - \|T\|)^{-1}$.

We can write $(Ew)(t) = M(t)w(t) + (Zw)(t)$, $(t \geq 0)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} (Zw)(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^m B_k(t)(w(t - h_k(t)) - w(t)) \\ &+ \int_0^1 B(t, \tau)(w(t - h_0(\tau)) - w(t))d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (1) can be written as

$$w'(t) = (Sw')(t) + M(t)w(t) + (Zw)(t) + f(t), \quad (t \geq 0).$$

Hence, by the Variation of Constants formula, a solution of problem (1), (2) satisfies the equation

$$(10) \quad w(t) = \int_0^t U(t, s)((Zw)(s) + f(s) + (Sw')(s))ds, \quad (t > 0).$$

Observe that

$$w(t) - w(t - \tau) = \int_{t-\tau}^t w'(s)ds, \quad (t > \tau > 0).$$

Hence, by (9)

$$\|w(t) - w(t - \tau)\|_{C(0, t_0)} \leq \tau |w'|_{t_0} \leq \tau \gamma |w|_{t_0} + c_2 \|f\|_{C(R_+)},$$

with $c_2 = c_1 \eta$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |Zw|_{t_0} &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|B_k(t)\| \|w(t - h_k(t)) - w(t)\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 \|B(t, \tau)\| \|w(t - h_0(\tau)) - w(t)\| d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|B_k(t)\| h_k(t) (\gamma |w|_{t_0} + c_2 \|f\|_{C(R_+)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 \|B(t, \tau)\| h_0(\tau) (\gamma |w|_{t_0} + c_2 \|f\|_{C(R_+)}) d\tau \right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(11) \quad |Zw|_{t_0} \leq \gamma \psi(E) |w|_{t_0} + c_3 \|f\|_{C(R_+)},$$

where c_3 does not depend on f . From (10) and (11) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |w|_{t_0} &\leq \|V_M\|_{C(R_+)} |Sw' + Zw + f|_{t_0} \\ &\leq \|V_M\|_{C(R_+)} (|Sw'|_{t_0} + |Zw|_{t_0} + \|f\|_{C(R_+)}) \\ &\leq \|V_M\|_{C(0, R_+)} [\|T\| |w'|_{t_0} + \gamma \psi(E) |w|_{t_0} + (c_3 + 1) \|f\|_{C(R_+)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (9) yields

$$|w|_{t_0} \leq \|V_M\|_{C(R_+)} \gamma |w|_{t_0} (\|T\| + \psi(E)) + c_0 \|f\|_{C(R_+)},$$

where c_0 is a constant independent of f . But

$$\gamma \|V_M\|_{C(R_+)} (\|T\| + \psi(E)) = \|V_M\|_{C(R_+)} \chi(E) (1 - \|T\|)^{-1} (\|T\| + \psi(E)) = \zeta_0.$$

Therefore,

$$|w|_{t_0} \leq \zeta_0 |w|_{t_0} + c_0 \|f\|_{C(R_+)}.$$

If (6) holds, then

$$|w|_{t_0} \leq \frac{c_0}{1 - \zeta_0} \|f\|_{C(R_+)}.$$

Hence, letting $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|w\|_{C(R_+)} \leq \frac{c_0}{1 - \zeta_0} \|f\|_{C(R_+)}.$$

This proves the required result. \square

3. ESTIMATES FOR THE NORM OF V_M

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let there be a real Riemann-integrable function $\nu(t)$, such that*

$$(12) \quad \|I + M(t)\delta\| \leq 1 + \nu(t)\delta + o(\delta), \quad (t \geq 0),$$

for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|U(t, s)\| \leq \exp\left[\int_s^t \nu(s_1) ds_1\right], \quad (t \geq s \geq 0).$$

For the proof see, for example, [12, Lemma 3.1]. Assume that

$$\theta_M := \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_0^t \exp\left[\int_s^t \nu(s_1) ds_1\right] ds < \infty.$$

Then due to Lemma 1

$$\|V_M\|_{C(R_+)} \leq \theta_M,$$

provided condition (12) holds.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\Lambda(M_R(t)) = \sup \sigma(M_R(t))$, where $M_R(t) = \frac{1}{2}(M(t) + M^*(t))$ and the asterisk means the adjointness. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I + M(t)\delta)h\|^2 &= \|(I + M(t)\delta)h\|^2 \\ &= \|(I + 2M_R(t)\delta + M^*(t)M(t)\delta^2)h\| \\ &\leq 1 + 2\Lambda(M_R(t))\delta + o(\delta), \quad (h \in \mathcal{H}, \|h\| = 1), \end{aligned}$$

we can take $\nu(t) = \Lambda(M_R(t))$. Hence we arrive at the Wintner inequality

$$\|U(t, s)\| \leq \exp\left[\int_s^t \Lambda(M_R(s_1)) ds_1\right], \quad (t \geq s \geq 0),$$

cf. [8, Theorem III.4.7].

Now assume that $M(t)$ satisfies the generalized Lipschitz condition

$$(13) \quad \|M(t) - M(\tau)\| \leq a(|t - \tau|), \quad (t, \tau \geq 0),$$

where $a(t)$ is a positive piece-wise continuous function defined on $[0, \infty)$. A particular case of (13) is the traditional Lipschitz condition

$$\|M(t) - M(\tau)\| \leq q_0|t - \tau|, \quad (q_0 = \text{constant} > 0; t, \tau \geq 0).$$

In addition to (3.4) suppose that there is a positive integrable on $[0, \infty)$ function $p(t)$ independent of s , such that

$$(14) \quad \|\exp[M(s)t]\| \leq p(t), \quad (t, s \geq 0) \quad \text{and} \quad J_0 := \int_0^\infty p(t)dt < \infty.$$

Lemma 2. *Let the conditions (13), (14) and*

$$\xi := \int_0^\infty a(s)p(s)ds < 1$$

hold. Then

$$\|V_M\|_{C(R_+)} \leq \frac{J_0}{1 - \xi}.$$

For the proof see Corollary 4.2 from [12]. About other bounds for the norm of V_M see, for instance, [12].

4. EXAMPLE

Consider the following partial neural type integro-differential equation

$$(15) \quad \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} - a(x) \frac{\partial u(t - \eta, x)}{\partial t} = c(t, x)u(t - h_1(t), x) + \int_0^x k(t, x, x_1)u(t - h_2(t), x_1)dx_1 + f(t, x),$$

where $t > 0$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $a(\cdot)$ and $c(\cdot, \cdot)$ are real continuous functions defined on $[0, 1]$ and $[0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$, respectively, $c(\cdot, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $k(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \times [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the following property: the integral $\int_0^1 |k(t, x, x_1)|dx_1$ is continuous in t and x , and $f(t, x)$ is also continuous in t and x .

Consider equation (15) in space $C(0, 1)$ of scalar continuous functions defined on $[0, 1]$ with the sup-norm. Equation (15) has the form (1) with $m_E = 2$; $h_1(t), h_2(t)$ are the same as above; $B(t, \tau) = 0$, $(Tz)(x) = a(x)z(x)$,

$$(B_1(t)z)(x) = c(t, x)z(x), \quad (B_2(t)z)(x) = \int_0^1 k(t, x, x_1)z(x_1)dx_1,$$

for $z \in C(0, 1)$ and $M(t) = B_1(t) + B_2(t)$. Condition (3) takes the form

$$(16) \quad \hat{a} := \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |a(x)| < 1.$$

Condition (4) takes the form

$$(17) \quad \chi_1 = \sup_{t \geq 0} (\sup_x |c(t, x)| + \|B_2(t)\|) < \infty.$$

So, in the considered case $\|T\| = \hat{a}$, $\chi(E) = \chi_1$ and $\psi(E) = \psi_1$, where

$$\psi_1 = \sup_{t \geq 0} (h_1(t) \sup_x |c(t, x)| + h_2(t) \|B_2(t)\|).$$

Assume that

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|I + M(t)\delta\| &= \max_x |z(x) + c(t, x)z(x)\delta + (B_2(t)z)(x)\delta| \\ &\leq 1 + \nu_1(t)\delta + o(\delta), \quad (z \in C(0, 1); \|z\| = 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_1(t)$ is a continuous function, i.e., condition (3.1) is fulfilled. If, in addition,

$$(19) \quad \Lambda_1 := \sup_{t \geq 0} \nu_1(t) < 0,$$

then according to (12), $\|V_M\| \leq \frac{1}{|\Lambda_1|}$.

Now Theorem 1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let the conditions (16)-(19) and*

$$\frac{\chi_1(\hat{a} + \psi_1)}{1 - \hat{a}} < |\Lambda_1|$$

hold. Then equation (15) is input-output stable.

About other recent approaches to the neutral type integro-differential equations see, for instance, the papers [2–4, 17, 19, 21].

REFERENCES

- [1] R.R. Akhmerov, V.G. Kurbatov, *Exponential dichotomy and stability of neutral type equations*, Journal of Differential Equations, 76 (1) (1988), 1–25.
- [2] A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, *Fixed points and stability in nonlinear neutral Volterra integro-differential equations with variable delays*, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations, 2013 (28) (2013), 1–13.
- [3] A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, *Stability in nonlinear neutral differential equations with infinite delay*, Mathematica Moravica, 18 (2) (2014), 91–103.
- [4] A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, *Stability for nonlinear neutral integro-differential equations with variable delay*, Mathematica Moravica, 19 (2) (2015), 1–18.
- [5] L. Berezansky, E. Braverman, *Solution estimates and stability tests for linear neutral differential equations*, Applied Mathematics Letters, 108 (2020), Article ID: 106515, 8 pages.
- [6] L. Berezansky, E. Braverman, *Explicit stability tests for linear neutral delay equations using infinite series*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 49 (2) (2019), 387–403.
- [7] L. Berezansky, E. Braverman, *On stability of linear neutral differential equations in the Hale form*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 340 (2019), 63–71.
- [8] Yu L. Daleckii, M.G. Krein, *Stability of solutions of differential equations in Banach space*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1974.
- [9] C.A. Desoer, Y. Vidyasagar, *Feedback Synthesis, Input-Output Properties*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2009.

-
- [10] E. Fridman, *New Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals for stability of linear retarded and neutral type systems*, Systems & Control Letters, 43 (2001), 309–319.
- [11] M.I. Gil', *Stability of Neutral Functional Differential Equations*, Atlantis Press, Amsterdam, Paris, Beijing, 2014.
- [12] M.I. Gil', *Delay-dependent stability conditions for non-autonomous functional differential equations with several delays in a Banach space*, Nonautonomous Dynamical Systems, 8 (2021), 168–179.
- [13] S. Hadd, A. Rhandi, *Feedback theory for neutral equations in infinite dimensional state space*, Note di Matematica, 28 (1) (2008), 43–68.
- [14] V. Kurbatov, *Stability of neutral type equations in differential phase spaces*, Functional Differential Equations, 3 (1-2) (1995), 99–133.
- [15] L. Li, *Stability of linear neutral delay-differential systems*, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 38 (1988), 339–344.
- [16] R. Rabah, G.M. Sklyar, A.V. Rezounenko, *Stability analysis of neutral type systems in Hilbert space*, Journal of Differential Equations, 214 (2) (2005), 391–428.
- [17] M. Remili, L.D. Oudjedi, *Stability and boundedness of nonautonomous neutral differential equation with delay*, Mathematica Moravica, 24 (1) (2020), 1–16.
- [18] G.M. Sklyar, A.V. Rezounenko, *Stability of a strongly stabilizing control for systems with a skew-adjoint operator in Hilbert space*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 254 (2001), 111–121.
- [19] G.-Q. Wang, S.S. Cheng, *Asymptotic stability of a neutral integro-differential equation*, Opuscula Mathematica, 26 (3) (2006), 515–527.
- [20] W. Wang, Q. Fan, Y. Zhang, S. Li, *Asymptotic stability of solution to nonlinear neutral and Volterra functional differential equations in Banach spaces*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 237 (2014), 217–226.
- [21] E. Yankson, *Stability results for neutral integro-differential equations with multiple functional delays*, Khayyam Journal of Mathematics, 3 (1) (2017), 1–11.

MICHAEL GIL'

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV
P.O. BOX 653,
BEER-SHEVA 84105
ISRAEL
E-mail address: gilmi@bezeqint.net